GPRA 309 Performance Measures Form

•	_		Management Lands in Oregon				
Principal Review	ver(s): Erik Peterson_1	Project Location: Oregon					
CEO Number(s)	: 20090337 ERF	Number (optional):					
*****	*******	*******	*********				
Environmental I	mpacts, Alpha-Num	eric Codes:					
Air Issues: A1= Air Quality A2= General Conformity A3= Air Toxics A4= Transportation Conformity	Water Issues: B1: Wetlands B2: Groundwater B3: Surface Water B4: Sole Source Aquifer B5: Aquatic Resources B6: Sediment	Other Issues: C1: Toxics/Hazardous Waste C2: Noise C3: Habitat C4: Essential Fish Habitat C5: Pesticides C6: Radiation	Other Issues: D1: Farmland D2: Endangered Species D3: Environmental Justice D4: Historic Preservation D5: Indigenous Peoples E1: Other (please specify)				
·	<u> </u>	**********					
	vironmental Impact:						
		: s) for All that Apply): C5					
* * *	1pna 11unici ie Couc(.	* * * * *	* *				
Prior to Draft Ti	ime Frame (if availab	ole) Date of EPA Comm	unication: July 28, 2008				
Description of Impact (Include Quantitative Data if Possible): EPA expressed support for the							
proposed action but listed concerns about potential impacts to natural resources and human health from invasive plant treatment, especially the use of herbicides. Recommendation: EPA recommended the EIS consider issues related to Integrated Pest							
				Management, water quality, soils, endangered species, range of alternatives etc.			
						hange: Increase in Impact	
		were addressed in the DEIS					
* * *	* * * *	* * * *	* * * *				
Draft (including	Draft Supplements)	Date of EPA Comr	nunication: 12/4/09				
Description of Impact (Include Quantitative Data if Possible): The proposed alternatives accounted for all of EPA's scoping concerns.							
Result: Decrease in Impact: □ No Change: □ Increase in Impact: □							
Result: Decrease	e in impact. No Cha	inge. increase in impact. increase in im	⊣ hadaiaida waa EDA				
Result: 10 conse	rvatively account for t	incertainties associated with	nerdicide use EFA				
recommended that	it the FEIS incorporate	"Potential Monitoring" as d	escribed in Appendix 3 of				
the DEIS. This p	otential monitoring we	ould serve to further ensure the	hat predicted non-significant				
impacts would be	achieved.						
* * *	* * * *	* * * *	* * * * *				
Final (including	Final Supplements)	Date of EPA Com	munication:				
		titative Data if Possible):					
<u> </u>							
Recommendatio	n:		<u> </u>				
Result: Decrease	e in Impact: □ No Ch	ange: Increase in Impact:					
Result:		1					